

WKB-like approach to Sauter-Schwinger pair production in spacetime-dependent fields

Johannes Oertel and Ralf Schützhold*

Fakultät für Physik, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Lotharstrasse 1, 47057 Duisburg, Germany

(Dated: March 2, 2016)

A well-known method for calculating an approximation to the pair creation rate in the Sauter-Schwinger effect is based on approximating solutions of the Riccati equation. Although several interesting field configurations can be examined in this formalism, its application is fundamentally restricted to the case of solely time-dependent fields. We propose a new WKB-like technique for approximating the pair creation rate in the presence of spacetime-dependent fields.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Sauter-Schwinger effect [1–3], i.e. electron-positron pair production due to a strong electric field, is a fundamental prediction of quantum electrodynamics (QED) that has not been verified experimentally yet. Although Sauter calculated the pair production rate for two different configurations of the electric field already more than 80 years ago [1], the effect of the electric field's spacetime dependence on Sauter-Schwinger pair creation is far from being fully understood yet.

Several methods have been developed for computing approximations to the pair production rate, such as the WKB method [4, 6] or the Worldline-instanton method [5], but most of them are focusing on the case of solely time-dependent electric fields. In this paper, we present a new method that promises to overcome this fundamental restriction. We start by expanding solutions of the Dirac equation using solutions of the eikonal (or Hamilton-Jacobi) equation, giving two linear coupled partial differential equations. An approximative solution to these equations is then attained under the assumption that the number of produced electron-positron pairs is small. Finally, we calculate the pair production rate for a truly spacetime-dependent field.

II. EIKONAL EXPANSION

We start with the covariant Dirac equation

$$[i\gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu + iqA_\mu) - m] \psi = 0,$$

where A_μ are the components of a possibly spacetime-dependent electromagnetic potential and γ^μ are the gamma matrices satisfying the Clifford algebra's anti-commutation relation

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}.$$

We define two operators

$$M_\pm = -\gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu S_\pm + qA_\mu),$$

with $S_\pm$ being two independent solutions of the eikonal equation

$$g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu S_\pm + qA_\mu) (\partial_\nu S_\pm + qA_\nu) = m^2.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} M_\pm^2 &= \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu (\partial_\mu S_\pm + qA_\mu) (\partial_\nu S_\pm + qA_\nu) \\ &= g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu S_\pm + qA_\mu) (\partial_\nu S_\pm + qA_\nu) \\ &= m^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the operators $M_\pm$ both have the two distinct eigenvalues $\pm m$. Let $u_\pm$ and $v_\pm$ be their respective eigenvectors defined as follows

$$M_+ u_\pm = \pm m u_\pm, \quad M_- v_\pm = \pm m v_\pm.$$

Upon expanding the spinor ψ in terms of the solutions of the eikonal equation,

$$\psi = \alpha u_+ e^{iS_+} + \beta v_+ e^{iS_-},$$

the Dirac equation reduces to

$$i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu (\alpha u_+) e^{iS_+} + i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu (\beta v_+) e^{iS_-} = 0. \quad (1)$$

In 1 + 1 dimensions it suffices to use 2×2 matrices. We choose

$$\gamma^0 = \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^1 = i\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using these, the operators $M_\pm$ have the following form

$$M_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} -(\partial_t S_\pm + qA_0) & -i(\partial_x S_\pm + qA_1) \\ -i(\partial_x S_\pm + qA_1) & +(\partial_t S_\pm + qA_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can write the eigenvectors $u_\pm$ and $v_\pm$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} u_+ &= N_+ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ ia_+ \end{pmatrix}, & u_- &= N_+ \begin{pmatrix} -ia_+ \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ v_+ &= N_- \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ ia_- \end{pmatrix}, & v_- &= N_- \begin{pmatrix} -ia_- \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_\pm &= \frac{m + \partial_t S_\pm + qA_0}{\partial_x S_\pm + qA_1} = -\frac{\partial_x S_\pm + qA_1}{m - (\partial_t S_\pm + qA_0)}, \\ N_\pm &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - a_\pm^2}} = \frac{1}{2m} \sqrt{m - (\partial_t S_\pm + qA_0)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

* ralf.schuetzhold@uni-due.de

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^0 \gamma^1 u_+ &= -\sigma_y u_+ = -iu_-, & \gamma^0 \gamma^1 u_- &= -\sigma_y u_- = iu_+, \\ \gamma^0 \gamma^1 v_+ &= -\sigma_y v_+ = -iv_-, & \gamma^0 \gamma^1 v_- &= -\sigma_y v_- = iv_+.\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

Multiplying (1) with γ^0/i leads to

$$\partial_t (\alpha u_+) e^{iS_+} + \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \partial_x (\alpha u_+) e^{iS_+} + \partial_t (\beta v_+) e^{iS_-} + \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \partial_x (\beta v_+) e^{iS_-} = 0.$$

Using the identities from (3) this can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}e^{iS_+} (u_+ \partial_t \alpha + \alpha \partial_t u_+ - iu_- \partial_x \alpha - i\alpha \partial_x u_-) \\ + e^{iS_-} (v_+ \partial_t \beta + \beta \partial_t v_+ - iv_- \partial_x \beta - i\beta \partial_x v_-) = 0.\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

To transform this into partial differential equations for α and β we want to project this equation onto the eigenvectors u_+ and v_+ . We define several quantities that will simplify the resulting expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &:= u_+ \cdot v_+ = u_- \cdot v_- = N_+ N_- (1 - a_+ a_-), \\ \chi &:= v_- \cdot u_+ = -u_- \cdot v_+ = iN_+ N_- (a_+ - a_-), \\ \xi_\mu^+ &:= u_- \cdot \partial_\mu u_+ = -u_+ \cdot \partial_\mu u_- = iN_+^2 \partial_\mu a_+, \\ \xi_\mu^- &:= v_- \cdot \partial_\mu v_+ = -v_+ \cdot \partial_\mu v_- = iN_-^2 \partial_\mu a_-.\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

Note that the $\xi_\mu^\pm$ can also be written as follows

$$\xi_\mu^\pm = iN_\pm^2 \partial_\mu a_\pm = i\partial_\mu \text{artanh } a_\pm.$$

Other scalar products of the eigenvectors with their derivatives can be expressed using the quantities defined above

$$\begin{aligned}u_+ \cdot \partial_\mu v_+ &= \chi \xi_\mu^-, & v_+ \cdot \partial_\mu u_+ &= -\chi \xi_\mu^+, \\ u_+ \cdot \partial_\mu v_- &= -\eta \xi_\mu^-, & v_+ \cdot \partial_\mu u_- &= -\eta \xi_\mu^+, \\ u_\pm \cdot \partial_\mu u_\pm &= 0, & v_\pm \cdot \partial_\mu v_\pm &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

Finally, projecting (4) onto u_+ and v_+ leads to the following two coupled partial differential equations for α and β

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \alpha &= -i\xi_x^+ \alpha - e^{-i(S_+ - S_-)} \left[\eta \partial_t \beta - i\chi \partial_x \beta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\chi \xi_t^- + i\eta \xi_x^-) \beta \right],\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \beta &= -i\xi_x^- \beta + e^{i(S_+ - S_-)} \left[-\eta \partial_t \alpha - i\chi \partial_x \alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\chi \xi_t^+ - i\eta \xi_x^+) \alpha \right].\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

Note that these equations are fully equivalent to the covariant Dirac equation we started with.

III. APPROXIMATING THE PAIR PRODUCTION RATE

As solving the coupled partial differential equations given in (6) and (7) is hard, especially in the presence of spacetime-dependent electric fields, we proceed with an approximative approach. We assume that the electric field is switched off initially (i.e. for $t \rightarrow -\infty$) and finally (i.e. for $t \rightarrow \infty$), so that the solutions of the eikonal equation correspond to plane waves initially and finally, i.e.

$$S_\pm(t, x) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \mp \omega t + kx, \quad \omega = \sqrt{m^2 + k^2}, \quad (8)$$

where ω and k are the energy and the momentum of the particle respectively. Here we have employed the convention that S_+ and S_- correspond to the solution that has positive and negative energy initially respectively. When the strength of the electric field is subcritical, we expect β (i.e. the coefficient of the negative-energy solution) and its derivatives to be much smaller than α (i.e. the coefficient of the positive-energy solution) and its derivatives. Thus in this case, (6) is approximately equivalent to

$$\partial_t \alpha \approx -i\xi_x^+ \alpha,$$

which can be integrated easily, giving

$$\alpha \approx \alpha_0 \exp \left[-i \int_{-\infty}^t \xi_x^+ dt' \right].$$

Inserting this expression into (7) allows us to calculate a solution for β within our approximation:

$$\partial_t \beta \approx -i\xi_x^- \beta + \alpha_0 \Theta(t, x) e^{i(S_+ - S_-) - i \int_{-\infty}^t \xi_x^+ dt'}, \quad (9)$$

where we have used

$$\Theta(t, x) = \chi \left[\xi_t^+ - \int_{-\infty}^t \partial_x \xi_x^+ dt' \right] \quad (10)$$

as an abbreviation. Upon defining

$$\tilde{\beta} = \beta \exp \left[i \int_{-\infty}^t \xi_x^- dt' \right],$$

(9) can be integrated as well, giving

$$\tilde{\beta} \approx \alpha_0 \int_{-\infty}^t \Theta(t', x) e^{2iS(t', x) + \Xi(t', x)} dt',$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}S(t, x) &= \frac{1}{2} [S_+(t, x) - S_-(t, x)], \\ \Xi(t, x) &= -i \int_{-\infty}^t (\xi_x^+ - \xi_x^-) dt' \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^t \partial_x (\text{artanh } a_+ - \text{artanh } a_-) dt' .\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

Finally, we find that

$$\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \approx e^{-\Xi(t,x)} \int_{-\infty}^t \Theta(t',x) e^{2iS(t',x)+\Xi(t',x)} dt'.$$

Thus, we see that a solution $\phi(t,x)$ that consists of a positive-energy plane wave only initially,

$$\psi_{\text{in}}(t,x) = e^{-i\omega_{\text{in}}t+k_{\text{in}}x},$$

finally evolves into a mixture of positive- and negative-energy plane waves,

$$\psi_{\text{out}}(t,x) = e^{-i\omega_{\text{out}}t+k_{\text{out}}x} + \frac{\beta(t,x)}{\alpha(t,x)} e^{i\omega_{\text{out}}t+k_{\text{out}}x}.$$

Therefore, the pair production density is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_{e^+e^-} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\beta(t,x)}{\alpha(t,x)} \right|^2 \\ &\approx \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left| e^{-\Xi(t,x)} \int_{-\infty}^t \Theta(t',x) e^{2iS(t',x)+\Xi(t',x)} dt' \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The total number of created pairs for a specific initial momentum can then be calculated by integrating (12) over all x .

If the electric field does only depend on the time t , we can use the temporal gauge $A_0 = 0$, $A_1 = A(t)$. Two independent solutions of the eikonal equation are then given by

$$S_{\pm} = \mp \phi_k(t) + kx,$$

where

$$\phi_k(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \Omega_k(t') dt', \quad \Omega_k(t) = \sqrt{m^2 + (k + qA(t))^2}.$$

Thus, in this case the pair production density (12) simplifies to

$$P_{e^+e^-} \approx \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{q\dot{A}(t)}{2m} \exp[-2i\phi_k(t)] dt \right|^2.$$

Comparing this to equation (12) in [6], we find that the only relevant difference between these two expressions is the prefactor of the integrand. This can be attributed to the different approximations that were made when deriving these formulae. However, exactly because of those approximations, we do not expect the integral in (12) to give the correct prefactor for the pair production rate but only the exact exponent anyway.

IV. CALCULATING THE PAIR PRODUCTION INTEGRAL

Our general strategy for solving the integral in (12) will be as follows: We try to find the analytic continuation of

the integrand in t and solve the integral by methods of contour integration. Thus, we have to choose a appropriate integration contour and determine the singularities of the integrand. As $S_{\pm}$ are linear functions of time t for $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ according to (8), the integrand in (12) is suppressed exponentially in the lower half-plane by the factor $\exp[2iS(t',x)]$. Therefore, we choose a semi-circle in the lower half-plane with key holes for avoiding any singularities and branch cuts as our integration contour.

However, often we are only interested in the exponent of the pair production density—as well because we do not expect the prefactor to come out right with the approximations we made. To leading order, the pair production density integral in (12) is dominated by the value of the exponential factor $\exp(2iS + \Xi)$ at the singularity in the lower half-plane that is closest to the real axis.

Looking at (12) and the definitions of Θ and Ξ in (10) and (11) respectively, we see that the integrand's only singularities can be where $S_{\pm}$ or $a_{\pm}$ have got singularities, or where a_+ or a_- is ± 1 . Using the definition of $a_{\pm}$ (see (2)), we can conclude that $a_{\pm}$ can only have singularities where $S_{\pm}$ or A_{μ} are singular, or where the denominator $\partial_x S_{\pm} + qA_1$ vanishes. Inserting $\partial_x S_{\pm} + qA_1 = 0$ into the 1 + 1-dimensional eikonal equation,

$$(\partial_t S_{\pm} + qA_0)^2 - (\partial_x S_{\pm} + qA_1)^2 = m^2, \quad (13)$$

reveals that in that case $\partial_t S_{\pm} + qA_0 = \pm m$. Thus, if the denominator of $a_{\pm}$ vanishes, the numerator will either be $2m$ or vanish, too. In the first case $a_{\pm}$ will have a pole at that point while in the second $a_{\pm}$ is of the indeterminate form $0/0$. Let us assume now that the latter occurs at t_* for either a_+ or a_- . We then can evaluate the limit of $a_{\pm}$ as t approaches t_* using the eikonal equation and l'Hôpital's rule to show that $a_{\pm}$ is actually well-defined at t_* :

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow t_*} a_{\pm} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow t_*} \frac{m + \partial_t S_{\pm} + qA_0}{\partial_x S_{\pm} + qA_1} \\ &\stackrel{(13)}{=} \lim_{t \rightarrow t_*} \frac{m \pm \sqrt{m^2 + (\partial_x S_{\pm} + qA_1)^2}}{\partial_x S_{\pm} + qA_1} \\ &\stackrel{\text{l'H.}}{=} \lim_{t \rightarrow t_*} \frac{\pm (\partial_x S_{\pm} + qA_1)}{\sqrt{m^2 + (\partial_x S_{\pm} + qA_1)^2}} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, from the definition in (2) we know that the $a_{\pm}$ are either -1 or $+1$ if and only if

$$(m + \partial_t S_{\pm} + qA_0)^2 = (\partial_x S_{\pm} + qA_1)^2.$$

Inserting the eikonal equation in 1 + 1 dimensions (13) into this gives, after some manipulation,

$$\partial_t S_{\pm} + qA_0 = -m.$$

But from the calculation above we already know that $a_{\pm}$ vanishes where $\partial_t S_{\pm} + qA_0 = -m$, so we can conclude that the $a_{\pm}$ are nowhere equal to -1 or $+1$.

In conclusion, the only possible singularities of the integrand in (12) are

- singularities of $S_{\pm}$,
- singularities of A_{μ} so that $a_{\pm}$ is singular,
- poles where $\partial_x S_{\pm} + qA_1 = 0$ and $\partial_t S_{\pm} + qA_0 = m$.

Note that because $\partial_t S_{\pm} + qA_0$ and $\partial_x S_{\pm} + qA_1$ correspond to energy and momentum of a classical particle respectively, the points where $\partial_t S_{\pm} + qA_0 = m$ and $\partial_x S_{\pm} + qA_1 = 0$ are the classical turning points of an electron.

V. INVERSE APPROACH TO THE EIKONAL EQUATION

To solve the eikonal equation (13) in the presence of spacetime-dependent fields we employ an inverse approach. In order to do this, we write the two independent solutions $S_{\pm}$ using two other functions R and S , which are defined according to

$$\begin{aligned} S_+ &= R + S, \\ S_- &= R - S, \end{aligned} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{aligned} R &= \frac{1}{2}(S_+ + S_-), \\ S &= \frac{1}{2}(S_+ - S_-). \end{aligned}$$

Applying a gauge transformation

$$\begin{aligned} qA_{\mu} &\mapsto qA'_{\mu} = qA_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu} R, \\ S_{\pm} &\mapsto S'_{\pm} = S_{\pm} - R = \pm S, \end{aligned}$$

eliminates the dependency on the symmetric part R . Inserting this into the eikonal equation (13) gives

$$(\pm \partial_t S + qA'_0)^2 - (\pm \partial_x S + qA'_1)^2 = m^2, \quad (14)$$

From now on, we drop the primes on the potential components. Solving the two equations given in (14) for qA_0 and qA_1 leads to

$$\begin{aligned} qA_0 &= \pm (\partial_x S) \sqrt{1 - \frac{m^2}{(\partial_t S)^2 - (\partial_x S)^2}}, \\ qA_1 &= \pm (\partial_t S) \sqrt{1 - \frac{m^2}{(\partial_t S)^2 - (\partial_x S)^2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where the $\pm$ sign denotes two different sets of solutions that can be generated using the same function S . The qA_{μ} given here have poles where $(\partial_t S)^2 - (\partial_x S)^2$ vanishes, branch points where $(\partial_t S)^2 - (\partial_x S)^2 = m^2$ and other singularities where S is singular. However, the $a_{\pm}$ do not have poles where $(\partial_t S)^2 - (\partial_x S)^2 = 0$, as can be seen by expanding the fraction in the expression for $a_{\pm}$ by $(\partial_t S)^2 - (\partial_x S)^2$.

Therefore in this case, the only possible singularities of the integrand in (12) are

- singularities of $S_{\pm}$,
- branch points where $(\partial_t S)^2 - (\partial_x S)^2 = m^2$,
- poles where $\partial_x S_{\pm} + qA_1 = 0$ and $\partial_t S_{\pm} + qA_0 = m$.

VI. TEMPORAL PULSE WITH SPATIAL PERTURBATION

To demonstrate how our method can be used to estimate the pair production rate in the presence of spacetime-dependent fields, we guess a (somewhat artificial) solution to (14),

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -\frac{\omega_{\text{in}} + \omega_{\text{out}}}{2}t \\ &+ \frac{\omega_{\text{in}} - \omega_{\text{out}}}{2\Omega} \sqrt{1 + (\Omega t)^2 + \varepsilon \frac{\Omega^2}{m^2} \cos(2\kappa x)}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

and calculate the components of the electromagnetic potential using (15); we chose the solutions with a negative sign here. Using these, the initial and final frequencies and momenta can be calculated:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} (\partial_t S + \underbrace{qA_0}_{\rightarrow 0}) &= -\omega_{\text{in}} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\partial_t S + \underbrace{qA_0}_{\rightarrow 0}) &= -\omega_{\text{out}} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} (\underbrace{\partial_x S}_{\rightarrow 0} + qA_1) &= \sqrt{\omega_{\text{in}}^2 - m^2} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\underbrace{\partial_x S}_{\rightarrow 0} + qA_1) &= \sqrt{\omega_{\text{out}}^2 - m^2} \end{aligned}$$

The electric field can then be computed from

$$E = \partial_t A_1 - \partial_x A_0.$$

For the function S chosen here, it consists of a (asymmetric) pulse whose amplitude depends oscillates with the spatial coordinate x (see figure 1). It should be noted that the electric field E for the S given in (16) can be indeed calculated analytically but the result is a rather lengthy expression that will be left out here for the sake of brevity.

It can be shown that in this case the dominant singularity of the pair production integral is the branch point due to the square root in S at

$$t_* = -\frac{i}{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon \frac{\Omega^2}{m^2} \cos(2\kappa x)}.$$

In addition, for this example $\Xi(t, x) = 0$ everywhere. Therefore, the pair production density to leading order is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_{e^+e^-} &\sim |\exp[-2\Im S(t_*, x)]|^2 \\ &= \exp \left[-4 \frac{\omega_{\text{in}} + \omega_{\text{out}}}{2\Omega} \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon \frac{\Omega^2}{m^2} \cos(2\kappa x)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$




FIG. 1. The electric field corresponding to the solution of the eikonal equation given in (16) using $\omega_{\text{in}} = 1.1m$, $\omega_{\text{out}} = 201.1m$, $\Omega = 0.001m$, $\kappa = 0.5m$, and $\varepsilon = 0.2$.

VII. CONCLUSIONS & OUTLOOK

We have developed a new method for approximating the pair production rate in the presence of arbitrary spacetime-dependent fields that resembles much of the WKB-like approach that is pursued in the formalism based on the Riccati equation [4, 6]. The method relies on being able to find solutions of the eikonal equation in the presence of spacetime-dependent fields which is not an easy task per se. However using an inverse approach,

solutions for electric fields depending on both t and x can be generated. As was shown in the last section, such solutions may then be analyzed to calculate an approximation to the pair production rate.

Still, our toy example given there exhibits a flaw that is not obvious from the plot in figure 1 alone: Due to how the inverse approach works, the expression for the electric field E still depends on all of the parameters of S . For example, the strength of the electric field can not be changed without changing ω_{in} or ω_{out} and vice versa. Therefore, a statement about how the pair creation rate for a specific mode depends on the field strength for this pulse form cannot be made.

This is problem that will often occur with solutions generated using an inverse approach. Future work has to be done to derive solutions of the eikonal equation that do not show this weakness.

Nevertheless, the expression for the pair production density given in (17) is within expectations: Pair production is less likely for higher frequencies (i.e. momenta) and becomes highly probable if the pulse width is of the order of the electron's frequency, i.e. $2\Omega \sim \omega_{\text{in}} + \omega_{\text{out}}$, thereby entering the regime of non-perturbative pair production.

Finally, the formalism presented here should be generalized to $3 + 1$ dimensions to facilitate analyzing field configurations that resemble the experimental situation a lot better.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Greger Torggrimsson for his valuable and helpful hints.

-
- [1] F. Sauter, Z. Phys. **69**, 742 (1931); Z. Phys. **73**, 547 (1932).
 - [2] W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, Z. Phys. **98**, 714 (1936).
 - [3] J. S. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **82**, 664 (1951).
 - [4] C. K. Dumlu and G. V. Dunne, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 065028 (2011).
 - [5] G. V. Dunne and C. Schubert, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 105004 (2005).
 - [6] M. F. Linder, C. Schneider, J. Sicking, N. Szpak, and R. Schützhold, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 085009 (2015).